UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

ZENIMAX MEDIA INC. and ID SOFTWARE LLC,

Plaintiffs,

Civil Case No.: 3:14-cv-01849-P

v.

OCULUS VR, LLC, PALMER LUCKEY AND FACEBOOK, INC.,

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION AND INTERROGATORIES (ECF No. 137)

Defendants Oculus VR, LLC ("Oculus"), Palmer Luckey, and Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook"; and collectively, "Defendants") hereby oppose plaintiffs ZeniMax Media Inc. and id Software LLC's (collectively, "Plaintiffs") Motion to Compel Responses to Requests for Production and Interrogatories ("Motion") (ECF No. 137). Plaintiffs' Motion is premised on the assertion that Defendants failed to serve responses to discovery requests by April 24, 2015, the agreed upon deadline for Defendants to respond to the requests at issue in the Motion. Defendants, however, timely served responses to the requests, which Plaintiffs now concede in their motion to withdraw the Motion. (ECF No. 140). Accordingly, the Court should deny the Motion.

Because Defendants timely responded to Plaintiffs' Requests, and because Plaintiffs have moved to withdraw the Motion, Defendants respectfully submit that Plaintiffs' Motion should be denied.

Date: May 18, 2015 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Heidi L. Keefe

Richard A. Smith Texas Bar No. 24027990 rsmith@lynnllp.com Elizabeth Y. Ryan Texas Bar No. 24067758 eryan@lynnllp.com

LYNN TILLOTSON PINKER & COX LLP

2100 Ross Avenue, Suite 2700 Dallas, Texas 75201

Phone: (214) 981-3800 Fax: (214) 981-3839

Michael G. Rhodes (pro hac vice) mrhodes@cooley.com COOLEY LLP

101 California St., 5th Floor San Francisco, California 94111

Phone: (415) 693-2000

Heidi L. Keefe (*pro hac vice*) hleefe@cooley.com Mark R. Weinstein (*pro hac vice*) mweinstein@cooley.com

COOLEY LLP

3175 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304 Phone: (650) 843-5000 Case 3:14-cv-01849-P Document 153 Filed 05/18/15 Page 3 of 3 PageID 4453

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On May 18, 2015, I hereby certify that the foregoing document was filed electronically in

compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this **DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO**

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION AND INTERROGATORIES

was served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service.

/s/ Heidi L. Keefe Heidi L. Keefe